

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/017,209	DIBBLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Jamal	2643	

All Participants:

(1) Alexander Jamal.

(2) John Musone (44961).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 November 2005

Time: 12pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-29

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner notified Mr. Musone that claims 1-27 were believed to be allowable based upon the appeal brief arguments and requested applicant amend claims 28-29 to clarify the term 'stagger' in the same manner as claim 27 (shifting peak voltages in time). Mr. Musone returned the phonecall on 11-29-2005 and agreed to the amendment to claims 28 and 29..